

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 07/968,209 10/29/92 HUMMEL. <u>10-14202</u> EXAMINER HAIL, J E4M1/1122 WATTS, HOFFMANN, FISHER & HEINKE CO. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 100 ERIEVIEW PLAZA, SUITE 2850 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1824 3 2405 DATE MAILED: 11/22/93 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS ☐ This application has been examined , A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire. month(s), 30 days from the date of this letter. Fallure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Motice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 6. 🗆 SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. ☑ Claims_1-36 Of the above, claims 1000 2. Claims_ Claims ___ Claims Claims _ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. 🔀 This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on ____ ___. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are \square acceptable. \square not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ______ has (have) been approved by the examiner.

disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed on _______, has been approved. I disapproved (see explanation). 12. \square Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has \square been received \square not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. ___ _____; filed on ____ 13.

Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9-89)

Serial Number: 968209

Art Unit: 2405

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I illustrated in figure 1,
species II illustrated in figure 2,
species III illustrated in figure 3,
species IV illustrated in figure 4,
species V illustrated in figure 5, and
species VI illustrated in figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art,

Serial Number: 968209

Art Unit: 2405

the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph J. Hail III whose telephone number is (703) 308-2687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0771.

JOSEPH J. HAIL III PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2405

jjh,8 November 16, 1993